

Assessment of antioxidant and hepatoprotective potential of *Satureja montana* extracts against CCl₄ induced liver damage

TATJANA ČEBOVIĆ¹, JELENA VLADIĆ^{2,*}, ALEKSANDRA GAVARIĆ², ZORAN ZEKOVIĆ², AND SENKA VIDOVIĆ²

¹University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Biochemistry, Hajduk Veljkova 3, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia

²University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Depart. of Biotech. and Pharmaceut. Engineering, Bulevar cara Lazara 1, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia

*Corresponding author: vladicjelena@gmail.com

Received: January 21, 2019

Accepted: March 8, 2019

Published on-line: April 3, 2019

Published: December 25, 2019

The influence of *Satureja montana* extracts on several liver biochemical parameters in mice administered with carbon tetrachloride was estimated. *In vivo* investigation of antioxidant properties of *S. montana* extracts encompassed monitoring of biochemical parameters (derived from liver homogenate and blood hydrolyzate) and examination of potential hepatoprotective effect after intoxication with carbon tetrachloride. Biochemical tests included determination of activity of several antioxidant enzymes: xanthine oxidase, catalase, peroxidase, glutathione peroxidase, amount of reduced glutathione and intensity of lipid peroxidation. In order to obtain data about the potent hepatoprotective effect of examined extracts, the following parameters were determined: aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, bilirubin, hepatic DNA and hydroxyprolin. Results of this study demonstrate that *S. montana* extracts inhibited the hepatotoxicity produced by carbon tetrachloride administration most probably through activation of physiological common defense mechanisms.

Key words: *Satureja montana*; hepatoprotective; antioxidant

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5937/leksi1939005C>

1. INTRODUCTION

Winter savory (*Satureja montana* L.) grows widely in the Balkan region and belongs to a very important family of medicinal plants, the Lamiaceae family. Winter savory is an aromatic herb which is traditionally used as a spice and natural food preservative and represents a common ingredient in folk medicines for therapy of different digestive, respiratory, and urinary ailments. Furthermore, it is quite often used as a tonic for strengthening the immune system. In addition, our previous results showed that it could be recommended for skin and mucous membrane inflammation (Vladić et al., 2017a). Apart from this, frequent use in traditional medicine and overall increase of interest in natural remedies has also been a basis for scientific research conducted for the purposes of confirming *S. montana*'s biological activities. Significant antioxidative potential of *S. montana* was confirmed for essential oil, extracts obtained by conventional extraction methods, as well as extracts obtained by modern extraction methods (Čavar et al., 2008; 2014; Grosso et al., 2009; Serrano et al., 2011; Vidović et al., 2014; Vladić et al., 2017b). In addition to its antioxidative activity, winter savory possesses antimicrobial, diuretic, antiproliferative, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory, and

anti-HIV-1 activity (Čavar et al., 2014; Cetojević-Simin et al., 2004; Prieto et al., 2007; Serrano et al., 2011; Skočibušić and Bezić, 2004; Stanic and Samaržija, 1993; Vidović et al., 2014; Vladić et al., 2017a; 2016; Yamasaki et al., 1998).

Winter savory is a herb rich in carvacrol, a representative of oxidative monoterpenes which exhibits significant antioxidant potential, both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Aeschbach et al., 1994; Aristatile et al., 2015; 2009; Guimarães et al., 2010; Slamenova et al., 2011). Also, it was confirmed that carvacrol demonstrated characteristics of a hepatoprotective agent in *in vivo* models (Aeschbach et al., 1994; Aristatile et al., 2009; Suntres et al., 2015). The hepatoprotective effect of carvacrol had been correlated with its capacity to preserve the integrity of hepatocellular membrane and oxidant/antioxidant balance in liver (Aristatile et al., 2009). In addition, studies were conducted where the hepatoprotective effect of species which belong to the genus *Satureja* (*S. macrostema* and *S. khuzestanica*) (Assaei et al., 2014; Gutierrez and Navarro, 2010). Based on the importance and role of carvacrol, as well as the antioxidant effect of *S. montana*, and confirmed beneficial activity of some species from genus *Satureja*, the starting point of our study was to evaluate pharmacological potency of *S. montana* extracts. In

our previous study, green extraction method, supercritical carbon dioxide extraction (SC-CO₂), was established for obtaining *S. montana* extracts (Vidović et al., 2014). In that study, process parameters of SC-CO₂ were determined with the aim to produce *S. montana* extract with the highest concentration of carvacrol. Considering the fact that carbon dioxide is a solvent with an affinity for non-polar compounds, in the other study water/ethanol mixture was chosen as a solvent because of its affinity for polar compounds. Liquid ethanol-water extract was then converted into dry form via spray drying technology (Vladić et al., 2016). Selected extracts of *S. montana* obtained in previously mentioned studies were selected for investigation in this study (Vidović et al., 2014; Vladić et al., 2016). Therefore, the main aim of this study was to assess the hepatoprotective potential of *S. montana* extracts in a mouse model of carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) induced liver toxicity.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Plant material

Aerial parts of winter savory (*Satureja montana*) was collected at the Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Bački Petrovac, Republic of Serbia, in July 2012. Collected plant material has been naturally dried and then stored in paper bags at the room temperature.

2.2. Chemicals

Carbon tetrachloride (>99.5%) was purchased from Kemika (Croatia) and methanol (>99.9%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany). All other chemicals were of analytical reagent grade.

2.3. Preparation of extracts

The detailed procedure of obtaining *S. montana* extract (SME1) by SC-CO₂ extraction had been described by (Vladić et al., 2016). Briefly, the extraction of *S. montana* herbal material was conducted using supercritical carbon dioxide at a pressure of 350 bar and temperature of 50 °C. The extraction time was 4.5 hours. The separator conditions were 15 bar and 23 °C (Vladić et al., 2016).

The detailed procedure of obtaining *S. montana* extract via spray drying is described by Vidović et al. (2014). Spray-dried extract (SME2) is produced by the drying of liquid extract via spray drying technology (inlet temperature 120 °C, outlet temperature 80 °C). *S. montana* liquid extract was obtained using 50% ethanol/water mixture as an extraction solvent. Extraction was carried out for five days at room temperature in a dark place. Maltodextrin (DE16) in the percentage of 10% (calculated on extract dry weight) was used as a carrier material (Vidović et al., 2014).

2.4. Animals and treatments

Animal care and all experimental procedures were conducted in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animal Resources edited by the Commission of Life Sciences, National Research Council. All procedures performed in studies involving animals were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institution (University of Novi Sad; EK: II-2013-03; 01-160-5). Animals were fed with standard mouse chow (LM2, Veterinarski zavod, Subotica, Serbia) had free access to tap water at a temperature of 25 °C and controlled humidity (30-50%), in the animal house under 12 h light/day cycles. SME1 was dissolved in olive oil in a concentration of 1%. The SME2 was dissolved under sonication in water (1%; w/v) and filtered through 0.45 mm membrane filter. The resulting solutions were kept refrigerated at a temperature of 4 °C. The extracts were administered intraperitoneally (i.p.).

The variability in the volume of administered doses was managed by adjusting the concentration to ensure a constant volume (2 mL/kg body weight). The carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) was also administered intraperitoneally (2 mL/kg) 24 h before sacrifice. The animals were randomly allocated to six groups of six in each group, under the following conditions and treatments: control group: – the mice received 1 mL/kg (i.p.) distilled water for 7 days; control + CCl₄: the mice were treated with 1 mL/kg (i.p.) distilled water for 7 days and 2 mL/kg (i.p.) of CCl₄ (day 7) 24 hours before sacrifice; SME1, SME2: the mice were treated with the investigated *S. montana* extracts (SME1 and SME2), receiving 2 mL/kg (i.p.) for 7 days; SME1 + CCl₄, SME2 + CCl₄ – the mice were treated with the same dosage of extracts, followed by 2 mL/kg (i.p.) of CCl₄ (day 7) 24 h before sacrifice.

At the end of the experiment (day 8), the animals were anesthetized with isoflurane, decapitated and exsanguinated. Livers were excised immediately after the animals were sacrificed. Liver homogenates were prepared in the following way: 1 g of liver tissues were homogenized with Tris-HCl: saccharose solution (50 mmol/L; 0.25 mol/L; pH 7.40), 1:3, 4 °C, using a glass Potter-Elvehjem homogenizer set. The resulting homogenate was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min, and the concentration of proteins was determined by biuretic method using bovine serum albumine as a standard (Wood, 2012). Samples of blood and liver were used for determination of biochemical parameters of oxidative stress.

2.5. Biochemical assays

The activity of xanthine oxidase (XOD) was determined using the Lück (1965) method, catalase (CAT) according to Beers and Sizer (1952), peroxidase (Px) according to Simon et al. (1974) glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) according to Beutler et al. (1963) and glutathione reductase (GR) according to Goldberg and Spooner (1983). Amount of reduced glutathione (GSH) was also determined Beutler (1984), as well as the intensity of lipid peroxidation (LPx) using the Buege and Aust (1978) protocol. The content of hepatic DNA was determined according to Schulte-Hermann et al. (1988). Contents of serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and bilirubin were determined following the Reitman and Wootton method. The concentration of hydroxyproline was also determined using Woessner (1961) protocol. All the measurements were performed in triplicate.

2.6. Statistical analysis

The activities are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Mean values between the groups in biochemical analyses were considered significantly different at the p<0.05 confidence level, after performing a one-way single factor ANOVA, followed by Tukey and Bonferroni multiple comparison post hoc tests.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Different agents can be responsible for liver damage and disease, including viruses, hepatotoxic chemicals, as well as pollution. The hepatotoxicity produced by CCl₄ administration is frequently used as a model for examination of the liver (Čebović and Maksimović, 2012; Manna et al., 2006; Gutierrez and Navarro, 2010; Sreelatha et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2010). The efficacy of any hepatoprotective agent depends on its capability to reduce effects of CCl₄ or to maintain normal physiological function, which was disrupted due to hepatotoxin application. The results of an investigation of *S. montana* extracts' influence on parameters of oxidative stress induced by CCl₄ are presented in Table 1. Several activities were analyzed, such as xanthine oxidase (XOD), catalase (CAT), peroxidase (Px), glutathione reductase (GR) and glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px).

Table 1. Effects of SC-CO₂ (SME1) and spray-dried (SME2) extracts of winter savory (*S. montana*) on oxidative stress biochemical parameters.

Group	Biochemical parameters						
	XOD ^a	CAT	Px	GR	GSH-Px	GSH	LPx
Control	1.93 ± 0.02	9.56 ± 0.37	10.68 ± 1.38	6.13 ± 0.07	8.70 ± 0.38	4.98 ± 0.16	3.04 ± 0.07
Control+CCl ₄	1.89 ± 0.02	3.32 ± 0.05 a	4.87 ± 0.28 a	1.2 ± 0.10 a	21.75 ± 1.35 a	0.57 ± 0.28 a	9.79 ± 1.46 a
SME1	1.87 ± 0.03 a	13.4 ± 0.59 a,b	14.88 ± 0.38 a,b	7.03 ± 0.46 b	7.54 ± 2.23 b	4.99 ± 0.17 b	2.62 ± 0.54 b
SME1+CCl ₄	1.93 ± 0.01	9.02 ± 0.16 b	9.52 ± 1.15 b	5.84 ± 2.11 b	10.94 ± 1.73 b	3.34 ± 0.75 a,b	3.97 ± 0.11 b
SME2	1.86 ± 0.03 a	12.37 ± 0.18 a,b	14.57 ± 0.80 a,b	6.53 ± 1.61 b	6.67 ± 1.06 b	4.92 ± 0.39 b	2.21 ± 0.24 b
SME2+CCl ₄	1.92 ± 0.03	8.72 ± 0.29 a,b	9.30 ± 0.72 b	5.41 ± 0.40 b	12.42 ± 0.64 a,b	2.9 ± 0.73 a,b	3.94 ± 0.98 b

^a Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation of six mice. Activities of xanthine oxidase (XOD), catalase (CAT), peroxidase (Px), glutathione reductase (GR) and glutathione peroxidase (GSHPx) are expressed in nmol/mg of protein min⁻¹. Content of hepatic reduced glutathione (GSH) is expressed in nmolGSH/mg of protein. Intensity of lipid peroxidation (LPx) is expressed in nmol/MDA/mg of protein; MDA, malonyldialdehyde. Letters a and b denote statistically significant difference from the Control group and from the Control+CCl₄ group based on *post-hoc* Bonferroni test at P<0.05 level.

along with glutathione content (GSH) and intensity of lipid peroxidation (LPx). Evaluation of the hepatoprotective influence of *S. montana* extracts on mice with chemically induced toxicity is expressed by measurement of hepatic DNA content, hydroxyproline, and bilirubin, as well as activities of serum parameters AST and ALT, before and after CCl₄ intoxication (Table 2).

Regarding XOD activity, no change was noticed after CCl₄ administration nor upon administration of extracts+CCl₄ (SM1+CCl₄ and SM2+CCl₄). The observation that CCl₄ administration did not influence strongly XOD activity was reported previously in the literature (Ćebović and Maksimović, 2012). CAT represents one of the most efficient enzymes and its key role is to dissolve hydrogen peroxide to water and oxygen, in that way performing cell protection from oxidative damage induced by hydrogen peroxide (Blokhina, 2003). Intraperitoneal CCl₄ administration led to a significant reduction of CAT activity (2.9-fold) in comparison to untreated control group, while pretreatment with extracts led to a significant reduction of CCl₄ influence and an increase of enzymatic activity to a value close to the control group. Investigated extracts (SME1 and SME2) increased Px activity in comparison to the control group, while CCl₄ administration reduced its activity almost 2-fold. Similar as in the case of CAT, pretreatment with extracts led to a decrease of hepatotoxic CCl₄ effect, i.e. enzymatic activity maintained close to Px activity measured in the untreated control group, which can be an indicator of increased production of hydrogen peroxide, partially converted into the water via both CAT and Px.

Administration of CCl₄ led to a crucial drop in GSH levels, which indicates that significant damage of hepatocyte appeared. The application of extracts with CCl₄ significantly increased the amount of GSH (by comparison with the negative control group), but significantly lower than the value of the untreated group, i.e. they failed to completely eliminate the negative CCl₄ effect.

Significant differences in the effects of applied extracts, which succeeded to suppress CCl₄ influence, were not found. It is a statistically important observation having in mind the multiple decreases of enzymatic activity via CCl₄ administration. Regarding the function of GR to maintain a high level of GSH activity, it can be concluded that reductions of activities of both GSH and GR after CCl₄ application, were correlated. Therefore, extracts' influences exerted on GR and GSH activities indicate a protective effect of extracts.

GSHPx is responsible for cell protection from the harmful

effect of endogenously formed hydroperoxide through degradation into water and oxygen, and can also be responsible for detoxification of lipid peroxide generated by reactive oxygen species (Blokhina, 2003). CCl₄ administration led to a significant increase of enzymatic activity, while it was significantly decreased (compared to the negative control group) in the groups treated with extracts. Application of SME1 led to a decrease of enzymatic activity up to a basal value of the control group. Therefore, extracts succeeded in suppressing the toxic effects of excessive production of free radicals caused by CCl₄ application.

CCl₄ application led to a significant increase in intensity of lipid peroxidation. Pretreatment with extracts, managed to prevent oxidative damage, caused by CCl₄, without a difference in activity. Pretreatment with extracts can potentially prevent loss of integrity of the hepatocellular membrane because of their capability to inhibit lipid peroxidation and in that way protect lipid membranes from oxidative damage. Hence, it is possible to evaluate their antioxidant potential, as well as hepatoprotective, by inducing damage of hepatocyte membrane.

Regarding the control group, significant reductions of several activities CAT, Px and GR, and level of GSH, as well as an increase of LPx intensity and activity of GSHPx were observed in animals treated with CCl₄. Extracts, without a relevant difference in their effects, succeeded in suppressing toxic CCl₄ effects, protecting the liver from oxidative damage, and maintaining levels of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants. Results of investigation of *S. montana* extracts showed that intoxication with CCl₄ led to a significant decrease of hepatic DNA, with regard to the control group. Extracts (SME1 and SME2) entirely inhibited the decline of the amount of hep. DNA. There were no notable differences between the concentration of hep. DNA when SME1 and SME2 were administered. Hydroxyproline, one of the parameters of liver damage, was determined in liver tissue hydrolyzate of mice. The groups treated with extracts (SME1 and SME2) did not differ in the amount of hydroxyproline in comparison with the control group, while it considerably increased under CCl₄ treatment. According to obtained results, extracts applied before a single CCl₄ dose showed a positive effect, that is, a significant decrease of hydroxyproline concentration towards its concentration in the untreated group.

The increased levels of serum bilirubin, AST and ALT are conventional indicators of liver injury (Thabrew et al., 1987). The amount of serum bilirubin was not significantly different

Table 2. Hepatoprotective influence of SC-CO₂ (SME1) and spray-dried (SME2) extracts of winter savory (*S. montana*) on liver function biochemical parameters.

Group	Biochemical parameters				
	Hepatic DNA ^a	Hydroxyprolin	Bilirubin	AST	ALT
Control	6.222 ± 0.021	28.300 ± 0.141	0.118 ± 0.053	72.117 ± 0,496	20.667 ± 1.531
Control+CCl ₄	4.631 ± 0.015 a	91.533 ± 0.979 a	2.217 ± 0.306 a	590.667 ± 3,777 a	327.833 ± 15.250 a
SME1	6.248 ± 0.091 b	30.883 ± 0.483 b	0.113 ± 0.016 b	70.533 ± 2.925 b	19.633 ± 4.361 b
SME1+CCl ₄	6.413 ± 0.036 a,b	64.133 ± 7.156 a,b	1.028 ± 0.102 a,b	281.000 ± 19.910 a,b	106.667 ± 10.801 a,b
SME2	6.218 ± 0.015 b	29.267 ± 1.593 b	0.125 ± 0.019 b	67.000 ± 4,026 b	20.483 ± 3.596 b
SME2+CCl ₄	6.417 ± 0.089 a,b	62.650 ± 2.054 a,b	1.100 ± 0.126 a,b	219.000 ± 12.474 a,b	115.667 ± 12.832 a,b

^a Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation of six mice. Content of hepatic DNA is expressed in mg DNA/100 g liver. Contents of bilirubin, AST and ALT are expressed in mg/dL, U/L and U/L, respectively. Concentration of hydroxyprolin is expressed in mg/100 mg of liver hydrolyzate. Letters a and b denote statistically significant difference from the Control group and from the Control+CCl₄ group based on *post-hoc* Bonferroni test at P<0.05 level.

from the control group when extracts were applied. After CCl₄ was applied, the amount of serum bilirubin was 18.8-fold increased. Administration of SME1 and SME2 notably decreased the concentration of serum bilirubin towards its concentration in the untreated group. After exposure to CCl₄, serum AST and ALT were 8.2 and 15.9-fold increase, respectively. Although both extracts notably reduced the negative effect of CCl₄ and significantly decreased serum AST activity, SME2 was more efficient in reduction than SME1. Also, both extracts considerably reduced the negative effect of CCl₄ and notably decreased serum ALT activity. Application of hepatotoxic agents led to membrane damage and release of enzyme from cytosol. In that context, reduction of levels of AST and ALT by applied extracts indicates that stabilization of plasma membrane and recovery of hepatic tissues damaged by CCl₄ occurred. This effect is in compliance with the widespread opinion that recovery of hepatic parenchyma and regeneration of hepatocyte bring back the levels of transaminases within physiological limits (Merlin and Parthasarathy, 2011; Thabrew et al., 1987).

As it was previously described, the activity of the extracts in the *in vivo* conditions was not significantly different although their chemical profile was different. In our previous studies (Vidović et al., 2014; Vladić et al., 2016) the chemical composition of *S. montana* extracts obtained by SC-CO₂ and essential oil isolated from spray dried extract (SME2eo) was established. In investigated SME2eo nine compounds were detected, which was two-fold less than the number of compounds detected in SME1. The most dominant component was phenolic compound: carvacrol, with relative content of 78.61 and 71.82%, in SME1 and SME2eo, respectively. In the sample obtained by SC-CO₂, p-cymene (3.96%) and trans-caryophyllene (2.4%) were detected in a notably higher percentage in comparison to SME2eo (0.36 and 0.24%), while caryophyllene oxide is present in a higher percentage in SME2eo (1.31%) than SME1 (1.26%). Linalool was also detected in both samples as well as terpinen 4-ol. The concentration of carvacrol in SME1 was 67-fold higher than in SME2eo. The content of carvacrol in obtained SME1 was 60.82 g/100 g, while in SME2 it was 0.903 g/100 g (Vidović et al., 2014; Vladić et al., 2016). Taking into account that the extract more abundant in carvacrol (SME1) did not show dominant antioxidant influence in *in vivo* model, it is possible to assume that both extracts activated the common antioxidant mechanism. Also, it is possible that the synergistic activity of all constituents was responsible for *in vivo* effect. Apart from this, it was already established that some effects of carvacrol can be improved by the presence of less dominant

components. For instance, in combination with carvacrol *in vitro*, p-cymene is incorporated in the cytoplasmic membrane and led to a more intensive transport of carvacrol through the membrane (Ultee et al., 2002). Consequently, the antimicrobial effect of carvacrol is improved with the presence of p-cymene. Considering confirmed carvacrol potential in preserving the physiological function of the liver, manifested hepatoprotective and antioxidant activities of *S. montana* extracts can be at least partially subscribed to the presence of carvacrol. On the contrary, examined extracts were obtained by different extraction methods, and antioxidant activities of such extracts as well as their carvacrol contents differed from one another. For obtaining SM2 extract, a mixture of ethanol/water was used as a solvent. Considering the polarity of this solvent, the main compounds in extracts had a polar character and are most likely responsible for antioxidant activity of SM2 *in vivo*. Although both extracts showed high antioxidant activity *in vitro*, SME2 exhibited 3-fold higher antioxidant activity than SME1 (IC₅₀ value of SME1: 17.40 µg/mL; IC₅₀ value of SME2: 5.24 µg/mL (Vidović et al., 2014). Due to a significantly low share of carvacrol in SME2, it is highly likely that the antioxidant effect of SME2 is related to the high content of polar polyphenols (content of total phenols 153.61 mg/g and total flavonoids 118.69 mg/g (Vidović et al., 2014). Abd El Tawab et al. (2014) determined the presence of caffeic, syringic, and rosmarinic acid in *S. montana* extract. Also, they identified gallic, ferulic, cinnamic, and vanillic acids, and three flavonoids: luteolin, rutin, and quercetin. In extracts obtained from non-volatile fractions of *S. montana*, after hydrodistillation and extraction by supercritical carbon dioxide, the presence of gallic, caffeic, syringic, gentisic, ferulic, chlorogenic, coumaric, and vanillic acids, epicatechin, and catechin (Silva et al., 2009). Inhibition of free radicals is significant in terms of protection of the damaged liver. DPPH radical is a stable radical that is known to remove labile hydrogen. Scavenging of free radicals is one of the main antioxidant mechanisms which inhibits the chain reaction of lipid peroxidation (Yang et al., 2010). The results of DPPH assay indicate that *S. montana* extracts show high scavenging effect towards free radicals. Moreover, this can protect the liver from damage caused by the generated free radical CCl₃ induced by CCl₄. Despite the fact that *in vitro* results confirmed direct antioxidant activity of examined extracts, it is not transparent whether this might also be the case *in vivo*. In addition to *in vitro* antioxidant effect, a possible mechanism of *S. montana* extracts as hepatoprotective agents are the reduction in activation of CCl₄ into the reactive form. Gutierrez and Navarro (2010) investigated antioxidant

and hepatoprotective effects of the methanol extract of the *S. macrostema* on CCl₄- and paracetamol-induced damage in rats. Authors assumed that *S. macrostema* extract elicits hepatoprotective effect through antioxidant activity (Gutierrez and Navarro, 2010). Hepatoprotective activity of essential oil of *S. khuzestanica* was evaluated. Within that study the hepatoprotective activity of essential oil was confirmed, especially in combination with vitamin E. It also suggested that potential reason for antioxidant and hepatoprotective effects can be found in the high content of carvacrol (Assaei et al., 2014). Looking at the significant effect the examined antioxidant enzymes, it can be inferred that SME1 and SME2 have a potent hepatoprotective action through activation of common physiological defense mechanisms. Reported changes in various enzyme activities may also indicate an indirect antioxidant effect due to the engagement of both CCl₄ and extract component(s). There is a demand for further investigation in order to identify the active component(s) of extracts and their biochemical mechanism responsible for the treatment of oxidative stress-induced liver damage as well as other disorders caused by oxidative stress.

CONCLUSION

In this study, *S. montana* extracts inhibited hepatotoxicity induced by CCl₄, protected liver from oxidative damage, and preserved the antioxidant level of protection. Both extracts obtained by applying different technologies exhibited a protective effect without significant differences in their activities, although a significant difference between the extracts and antioxidant capacity *in vitro* was determined. Therefore, the most probable mechanism of action of extracts is activation of physiological defense mechanism and consequently the protective activity. In addition, this finding indicates that the *S. montana* extracts produced by applying modern green technologies could be implemented in prevention and/or treatment of acutely damaged liver. The next step in the research will be the identification of active ingredients, investigation of mechanisms of action, and evaluation of concentration-dependency of extracts.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Acknowledgment. Financial support by the Serbian Ministry of Education and Science, Project No. TR 31013 for this work is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Abd El Tawab, A. M., Shahin, N. N. and AbdelMohsen, M. M. (2014). Protective effect of *Satureja montana* extract on cyclophosphamide-induced testicular injury in rats, *Chemico-Biological Interactions* **224**: 196–205.
- Aeschbach, R., Löliker, J., Scott, B., Murcia, A., Butler, J., Halliwell, B. and Aruoma, O. (1994). Antioxidant actions of thymol, carvacrol, 6-gingerol, zingerone and hydroxytyrosol, *Food and Chemical Toxicology* **32**(1): 31–36.
- Aristatile, B., Al-Numair, K. S., Al-Assaf, A. H., Veeramani, C. and Pugalendi, K. V. (2015). Protective Effect of Carvacrol on Oxidative Stress and Cellular DNA Damage Induced by UVB Irradiation in Human Peripheral Lymphocytes, *Journal of Biochemical and Molecular Toxicology* **29**(11): 497–507.
- Aristatile, B., Al-Numair, K. S., Veeramani, C. and Pugalendi, K. V. (2009). Effect of carvacrol on hepatic marker enzymes and antioxidant status in d-galactosamine-induced hepatotoxicity in rats, *Fundamental & Clinical Pharmacology* **23**(6): 757–765.
- Assaei, R., Zal, F., Mostafavi-Pour, Z., Dabbaghmanesh, M. H., Geramizadeh, B., Ranjbar Omrani, G. H. and Pajouhi, N. (2014). Hepatoprotective effect of *Satureja khuzestanica* essential oil and vitamin E in experimental hyperthyroid rats: evidence for role of antioxidant effect, *Iranian Journal of Medical Sciences* **39**(5): 459–466.
- Ćavar, S., Maksimović, M., Šolić, M. E., Jerković-Mujkić, A. and Bešta, R. (2008). Chemical composition and antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of two *Satureja* essential oils, *Food Chemistry* **111**(3): 648–653.
- Ćavar, S., Šolić, M. E. and Maksimović, M. (2014). Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of two *Satureja* species from Mt. Biokovo, *Botanica Serbica* **38**(1): 159–166.
- Beers, R. F. and Sizer, I. W. (1952). A spectrophotometric method for measuring the breakdown of hydrogen peroxide by catalase, *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **195**(1): 133–140.
- Beutler, E. (1984). *Red Cell Metabolism: A Manual of Biochemical Methods*, subsequent edition edn, Grune & Stratton, Orlando, FL.
- Beutler, E., Duron, O. and Kelly, B. (1963). Improved method for the determination of blood glutathione., *The Journal of laboratory and clinical medicine* **61**: 882–888.
- Blokhina, O. (2003). Antioxidants, Oxidative Damage and Oxygen Deprivation Stress: a Review, *Annals of Botany* **91**(2): 179–194.
- Buege, J. A. and Aust, S. D. (1978). Microsomal lipid peroxidation, *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 52, Elsevier, pp. 302–310.
- Cetojević-Simin, D. D., Canadanović-Brunet, J. M., Bogdanović, G. M., Cetković, G. S., Tumbas, V. T. and Djilas, S. M. (2004). Antioxidative and antiproliferative effects of *Satureja montana* L. extracts, *Journal of B.U.ON.: official journal of the Balkan Union of Oncology* **9**(4): 443–449.
- Ćebović, T. and Maksimović, Z. (2012). Hepatoprotective Effect of *Filipendula hexapetala* Gilib. (Rosaceae) in Carbon Tetrachloride-induced Hepatotoxicity in Rats, *Phytotherapy Research* **26**(7): 1088–1091.
- Goldberg, D. and Spooner, R. (1983). Glutathione reductase, in H. Bergmayer (ed.), *Methods of enzymatic analysis*, Weinheim: Basel, pp. 258–265.
- Grosso, C., Oliveira, A., Mainar, A., Urieta, J., Barroso, J. and Palavra, A. (2009). Antioxidant Activities of the Supercritical and Conventional *Satureja montana* Extracts, *Journal of Food Science* **74**(9): C713–C717.
- Guimarães, A. G., Oliveira, G. F., Melo, M. S., Cavalcanti, S. C., Antonioli, A. R., Bonjardim, L. R., Silva, F. A., Santos, J. P. A., Rocha, R. F., Moreira, J. C. F., Araújo, A. A., Gelain, D. P. and Quintans-Júnior, L. J. (2010). Bioassay-guided Evaluation of Antioxidant and Antinociceptive Activities of Carvacrol, *Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology* **107**(6): 949–957.
- Gutierrez, R. M. P. and Navarro, Y. T. G. (2010). Antioxidant and hepatoprotective effects of the methanol extract of the leaves of *Satureja macrostema*, *Pharmacognosy Magazine* **6**(22): 125–131.
- Lück, H. (1965). Xanthine oxidase, in H.-U. Bergmeyer (ed.), *Methods of Enzymatic Analysis*, Academic Press, pp. 917–919.

- Manna, P., Sinha, M. and Sil, P. C. (2006). Aqueous extract of *Terminalia arjuna* prevents carbon tetrachloride induced hepatic and renal disorders, *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine* **6**(1).
- Merlin, N. J. and Parthasarathy, V. (2011). Antioxidant and hepatoprotective activity of chloroform and ethanol extracts of *Gmelina asiatica* aerial parts, *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research* **5**(4): 533–538.
- Prieto, J. M., Iacopini, P., Cioni, P. and Chericoni, S. (2007). *In vitro* activity of the essential oils of *Origanum vulgare*, *Satureja montana* and their main constituents in peroxynitrite-induced oxidative processes, *Food Chemistry* **104**(3): 889–895.
- Schulte-Hermann, R., Ochs, H., Bursch, W. and Parzefall, W. (1988). Quantitative Structure-Activity Studies on Effects of Sixteen Different Steroids on Growth and Monooxygenases of Rat Liver, *Cancer Research* **48**(9): 2462–2468.
- Serrano, C., Matos, O., Teixeira, B., Ramos, C., Neng, N., Nogueira, J., Nunes, M. L. and Marques, A. (2011). Antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of *Satureja montana* L. extracts, *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* **91**(9): 1554–1560.
- Silva, F. V. M., Martins, A., Salta, J., Neng, N. R., Nogueira, J. M. F., Mira, D., Gaspar, N., Justino, J., Grosso, C., Urieta, J. S., Palavra, A. M. S. and Rauter, A. P. (2009). Phytochemical Profile and Anticholinesterase and Antimicrobial Activities of Supercritical versus Conventional Extracts of *Satureja montana*, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **57**(24): 11557–11563.
- Simon, L., Fatrai, Z., Jonas, D. and Matkovic, B. (1974). Study of Peroxide Metabolism Enzymes During the Development of *Phaseolus vulgaris* Properties of Enzymes, Part IV., *Biochemie und Physiologie der Pflanzen* **166**(5-6): 387–392.
- Skočibušić, M. and Bezić, N. (2004). Phytochemical analysis and *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of two *Satureja* species essential oils, *Phytotherapy Research* **18**(12): 967–970.
- Slamenova, D., Horvathova, E., Chalupa, I., Wsolova, L. and Navarova, J. (2011). Ex vivo assessment of protective effects of carvacrol against DNA lesions induced in primary rat cells by visible light excited methylene blue (VL+MB), *Neoplasma* **58**(1): 14–29.
- Sreelatha, S., Padma, P. and Umadevi, M. (2009). Protective effects of *Coriandrum sativum* extracts on carbon tetrachloride-induced hepatotoxicity in rats, *Food and Chemical Toxicology* **47**(4): 702–708.
- Stanic, G. and Samaržija, I. (1993). Diuretic activity of *Satureja montana* subsp. *montana* extracts and oil in rats, *Phytotherapy Research* **7**(5): 363–366.
- Suntres, Z. E., Coccimiglio, J. and Alipour, M. (2015). The Bioactivity and Toxicological Actions of Carvacrol, *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* **55**(3): 304–318.
- Thabrew, M., Joice, P. and Rajatissa, W. (1987). A Comparative Study of the Efficacy of *Pavetta indica* and *Osbeckia octandra* in the Treatment of Liver Dysfunction, *Planta Medica* **53**(03): 239–241.
- Ultee, A., Bennis, M. H. J. and Moezelaar, R. (2002). The Phenolic Hydroxyl Group of Carvacrol Is Essential for Action against the Food-Borne Pathogen *Bacillus cereus*, *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **68**(4): 1561–1568.
- Vidović, S. S., Vladić, J. Z., Vaštag, u. G., Zeković, Z. P. and Popović, L. M. (2014). Maltodextrin as a carrier of health benefit compounds in *Satureja montana* dry powder extract obtained by spray drying technique, *Powder Technology* **258**: 209–215.
- Vladić, J., Canli, O., Pavlič, B., Zeković, Z., Vidović, S. and Kaplan, M. (2017b). Optimization of *Satureja montana* subcritical water extraction process and chemical characterization of volatile fraction of extracts, *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids* **120**: 86–94.
- Vladić, J., Vidović, S., Aćimović, M., Gavarić, A. and Jokić, S. (2017a). *Satureja montana*: Cultivation, Production and Uses, *Medicinal Plants: Production, Cultivation and Uses* pp. 27–57.
- Vladić, J., Zeković, Z., Jokić, S., Svilović, S., Kovačević, S. and Vidović, S. (2016). Winter savory: Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction and mathematical modeling of extraction process, *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids* **117**: 89–97.
- Woessner, J. (1961). The determination of hydroxyproline in tissue and protein samples containing small proportions of this imino acid, *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* **93**(2): 440–447.
- Wood, E. J. (2012). *Practical Biochemistry for Colleges*, Elsevier.
- Yamasaki, K., Nakano, M., Kawahata, T., Mori, H., Otake, T., Ueda, N., Oishi, I., Inami, R., Yamane, M., Nakamura, M., Murata, H. and Nakanishi, T. (1998). Anti-HIV-1 Activity of Herbs in Labiatae., *Biological & Pharmaceutical Bulletin* **21**(8): 829–833.
- Yang, J., Li, Y., Wang, F. and Wu, C. (2010). Hepatoprotective Effects of Apple Polyphenols on CCl₄-Induced Acute Liver Damage in Mice, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **58**(10): 6525–6531.